0040-4039(94)02196-1

The first synthesis and determination of absolute stereochemistry of clonostachydiol - Part II

A V Rama Rao*, V S Murthy and G V M Sharma Indian Institute of Chemical Technology, Hyderabad 500 007, India

Abstract: The first total synthesis of clonostachydiol has been achieved from two appropriately protected hydroxy acids that are derived from (D)-xylose and 1,2-O-isopropylidene (D)-glyceraldehyde. This synthesis has proved the absolute configuration of four stereocenters in clonostachydiol as 2R,3S,8R,11R unambiguously.

Clonostachydiol (1)¹ is a 14-membered fungal metabolite belonging to the macrodiolide class of compounds and related to colletodiol family². From the analogy with colletodiol, the absolute configuration at C-2, C-8 and C-11 stereocenters of 1 was assumed to be 2R,8R and 11S, while the C-3 could either be R or S. However, the synthetic 3R isomer 1a (preceding communication) was distinguishably different from the natural counterpart, hence a synthesis of 3S isomer 1b was desired to prove the absolute stereochemistry. In this paper we report the first total synthesis of clonostachydiol (1) and its 11S isomer 1b and demonstrate that clonostachydiol is represented as (2R,3S,8R,11R) isomer 1 unambiguously.

Based on the retrosynthetic reasoning (Scheme 1) 2 and 3 were thought to be the appropriate synthons for the total synthesis of 1. Since the synthesis of 3 has already been achieved,

as described in the preceding paper, a synthesis of 2 routed from (D)-xylose was planned, where the inversion at C-3 position was effected efficiently to prepare the 3S isomer.

Thus, the known compound 4 (Scheme 2), prepared from D-xylose (preceding communication), on PDC oxidation followed by NaBH₄ reduction of resulting 5 gave 6^4 (80%) [α]_D +40.5° (c 1, CHCl₃). Treatment of 6 with MPMBr gave 7, which on exposure to acid resulted 9 along with MPM deprotected product albiet in poor yields. Hence, a different protecting group was

a) PDC, Ac_2O , CH_2Cl_2 , $40^{\circ}C$; b) $NaBH_4$, EtOH; c) NaH, MPMBr, THF; d) NaH, allyl bromide, THF; e) $Conc.\ H_2SO_4$, 60% aq. AcOH, $40^{\circ}C$; f) $NaIO_4$, MeOH; g) $Ph_3P=CHCO_2(CH_2)_2SO_2ToI$, C_2H_2 , $80^{\circ}C$; h) 2% HCI, 1:1 dioxane-water.

chosen in the present study. Accordingly, compound 6 on reaction with allyl bromide (NaH, THF) afforded 8 (75%) $[\alpha]_D$ +98.1 (c 2, CHCl₃). Acidic (60% aq. AcOH, cat. H₂SO₄) hydrolysis of 8 was smoothly effected furnishing the lactol 10, which on subsequent exposure to NaIO₄ followed by Wittig reaction on resultant aldehyde 11 with (p-toluenesulfonylethoxycarbonylmethylene)triphenylphosphorane⁵ gave 12 (50%). Finally de-O-formylation with catalytic 2% HCl gave segment 2 in 92% yield, $[\alpha]_D$ +15° (c 1, CHCl₃).

The total synthesis of 1 was efficiently completed from the enantiopure segments 2 and 3. Accordingly, esterification of 2 and 3 (Scheme 3) through the mixed anhydride as descri-

Scheme-3
$$2 + 3 \qquad a, b, c \qquad MPMO, QR'$$

$$13 \quad R = THP, R' = SO_2ToI$$

$$14 \quad R = H, R' = SO_2ToI$$

$$15 \quad R = R' = H$$

$$RO_{MPMO}, RO_{QR'}$$

$$RO_{RO} = RO_{RO}$$

$$RO_{RO} = R' = AII$$

a) 2,4,6-Trichlorobenzoyl chloride, Et₃N. THF, then DMAP, $C_6H_5CH_3$, RT; b) Cat. conc. HCl, 1:1 MeOH-EtOAc; c) DBN, C_6H_6 , RT; d) 2,4,6-trichlorobenzoyl chloride, Et₃N, THF, then DMAP, $C_6H_6CH_3$, 95°C; e) DDQ, 18:1 CH_2CI_2 - H_2O ; f) 10% Pd-C, PTSA, MeOH, 60°C.

bed earlier gave ester 13 (70%). Depyranylation of 13 with conc. HCl followed by saponification of 14 with DBN resulted in the selective removal of PTSE group thus forming the seco-acid 15 (82%). Acid 15 through its mixed anhydride prepared on reaction with 2,4,6-trichlorobenzoyl chloride underwent smooth macrolactonisation (DMAP, Toluene) under high dilution conditions to afford 16 (70%), $[\alpha]_D$ -27.8° (c 1, CHCl3). Deprotections on 16 were effected by sequential treatment first with DDQ followed by Pd-C reaction of resultant 17 under acidic conditions to furnish 1b in 80% yield, whose TLC analysis (1:1 EtOAc-Pet.ether) indicated the same R_f value (0.30) for both the synthetic and natural isomers. However, other physical characteristics of 1b were not identical with that of natural one. The 1H NMR spectrum of 1b indicated the chemical shifts for H-3 and H-11 at δ 3.95 as a multiplet, while in natural isomer H-3 and H-11 resonated at δ 3.95 and 4.44 respectively while the olefinic protons pattern of 1b was conspicuously different from natural isomer 8,9 . Further, optical rotation $[\alpha]_D$ -32° (c 0.15, MeOH) of 1b was quite different from that of natural isomer $[\alpha]_D$ +103° (c 1.0 MeOH). Thus, to our dismay the 2R,3S,8R,11S isomer 1b was also found to have non-identical spectral analysis with natural isomer.

Having met with failure in the preparation of natural isomer 1, inspite of inversion at the C-3 centre, it was next planned to effect the inversion at C-11 stereocentre to get the target molecule.

Accordingly, compound 17 was subjected to Mitsunobu¹⁰ inversion (scheme 4) using chloroacetic acid (DEAD, Ph₂P, THF) to afford the ester 18 (40%, H-11 δ , 5.62), which on

a) CICH₂CO₂H, DEAD, Ph₃P, THF; b) thiourea, 1:1 MeOH-CHCl₃, RT; c) 10% Pd-C, PTSA, MeOH, 60°C.

subsequent deacetylation with thiourea 11 (MeOH-CHCl $_3$) furnished 19 (60%) with inverted C-11 stereocentre (H-11 $_5$, 4.58). Finally de-O-allylation of 19 with Pd/C-PTSA gave 1 (70%), which was indistinguishable by TLC, IR and 1 H NMR from natural compound 8,9 . The specific optical rotation value of +102° (c 0.1, MeOH) was in excellent agreement with the reported value +103° (c 1, MeOH) and substantiated the absolute stereochemistry of 1, which can be depicted as 2R,3S,8R,11R.

In summary, we have demonstrated the absolute stereochemistry of 1 to be 2R,3S,8R,11R from the first total synthesis by a convergent and enantioselective approach starting from (D)-xylose and 1,2-O-isopropylidene (D)-glyceraldehyde.

Acknowledgments: The authors are thankful to Prof A Zeeck and R Thiericke for sending a sample of the natural product and ¹H NMR spectra. One of the authors (VSM) is thankful to CSIR, New Delhi, India, for financial support.

References

- 1. Grabley, S.; Hammann, P.; Thiericke, R.; Wink, J.; Philipps, S. and Zeeck, A. J. Antibiotics, 1993, 46, 343.
- a) MacMillan, J. and Simpson, T.J. J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans I., 1973, 1487; b) Amstrutz, R.; Hungerbuhler, E. and Seebach, D. Helv. Chim. Acta, 1981, 64, 1796.
- 3. Rama Rao, A.V.; Murthy, V.S. and Sharma, G.V.M. Preceding Communication.
- 4. All new compounds gave satisfactory spectral analysis.
- 5. Colvin, E.W.; Purcell, T.A. and Raphael, R.A. J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. I., 1976, 1718.
- Inagawa, J.; Hirata, K.; Saeki, H.; Katsuki, T. and Yamaguchi, M. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 1979, 52, 1989.
- 7. Boss, R. and Schefford, R. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. (Engl.), 1976, 15, 558.
- 8. Personal communication from Prof A Zeeck.
- 9.
 ¹H NMR data (200 MHz, DMSO-d₆, δ in ppm): 1 1.13, 1.34 (2d, 6H, $\Im_{16,8}=\Im_{15,2}$ 6.5 Hz, H-15,16), 1.44 (m, 2H, H-9), 1.54, 1.80 (m, 2H, H-10), 3.95 (m, 1H, H-3), 4.45 (m, 1H, H-11), 4.7 (m, 1H, H-2), 5.02 (m, 2H, H-8, OH), 5.68 (d, 1H, OH), 5.78 (dd, 1H, $\Im_{12,13}$ 15.8 Hz, H-13), 5.9 (d, 1H, $\Im_{4,5}$ 16.0 Hz, H-5), 6.48 (dd, 1H, $\Im_{3,4}$ 8.9 Hz, H-4), 6.68 (dd, $\Im_{11,12}$ 4.2 Hz, H-12).
 - la: 1.13, 1.27 (2d, 6H, $J_{16,8}=J_{15,2}$ 6.5 Hz, H-15,16), 1.4 (m, 2H, H-9), 1.52, 1.78 (m, 2H, H-10), 3.92 (m, 1H, H-11), 4.32 (m, 1H, H-3), 4.98 (m, 1H, H-2), 5.17 (pseudoquintet, 1H, H-8), 5.78 (d, 1H, $J_{13,12}$ 15.3 Hz, H-13), 6.01 (d, 1H, $J_{5,4}$ 15.0 Hz, H-5), 6.58 (dd, 1H, $J_{3,4}$ 6.5 Hz, H-4), 6.65 (dd, 1H, $J_{11,12}$ 3.2 Hz, H-12).
 - 1b: 1.13, 1.34 (2d, 6H, $J_{16,8}$ 6.6 Hz, $J_{15,2}$ 6.4 Hz, H-15,16), 1.5 (m, 2H, H-9), 1.78 (m, 2H, H-10), 3.95 (m, 2H, H-3,11), 4.72 (m, 1H, H-2), 4.95 (psuedoquintet, 1H, H-8), 5.69 (d, 1H, OH), 5.87 (2d, 2H, $J_{12,13}$ 15.2 Hz, $J_{4,5}$ 15.7 Hz, H-5,13), 6.45 (dd, 1H, $J_{3,4}$ 6.0 Hz, H-4), 6.45 (dd, 1H, $J_{11,12}$ 8.5 Hz, H-12).
- 10. Mitsunobu, O, Synthesis, 1981, 1.
- 11. Ziegler, T. Ann. Chem., 1990, 1125.

IICT Communication No. 3429

(Received in UK 1 September 1994; revised 31 October 1994; accepted 4 November 1994)